## Drotect Din

You are investigating how the execution time of a sorting algorithm changes with the size of the input by instrumenting a Java program running on your laptop. You have available a function currentTime() that reports the current time in microseconds; you are using this function to time executions of the sort for arrays of various sizes.

1. When the input array is of size less than about 1000, you notice that the difference between the currentTime () at the start and the end of the sort is either $0 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ or $1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Explain why this happens, and what you do to get more reliable numbers.
2. What sizes of arrays would you use to assess the sort algorithm? Why did you pick those sizes? How would you decide on the maximum size to measure?
3. How would you guard against the possibility of your sorting program containing a bug that might make your timings meaningless?
4. To see how reproducible your measurements are, you arrange for each of your test programs to sort 10 copies of the same random array; you find that for some programs, 8 or 9 of the runs yield timings within a few percent of each other, while the remaining 1 or 2 runs take vastly longer, sometimes by a factor of 3 or 5 . Explain why this happens, and what you do with the data to more accurately assess the behavior of the sort.
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## But first ... the episode you missed

* Transform and conquer
* instance simplification
* representation change
* problem reduction


## Instance Simplification

+ Transform a problem instance to another instance with a special property
- List Presorting
* many algorithms are faster on sorted lists
- Gaussian Elimination
* Transform the argument matrix into uppertriangular form
+ Can be used to compute a determinant
* Balancing AVL trees
* "rotate" the tree to restore the balance criterion


## Representation Change

* Find a better way to represent the data in your problem
- binary search tree $\rightarrow$ 2-3 tree
* Horner's rule
* heaps, and heapsort
* a heap is an essentially complete binary tree implemented as an array
- Exponentiation by exploiting the binary representation of a number


## Problem Reduction

* A reduction is transforming a problem that you don't know how to solve into one that you can
+ Find LCM by computing the GCD
+ Solve geometric problems by reducing to algebra
+ Optimization problems reduced to linear programming


## Why did I miss Chapter 6?

* If you are mathematically inclined, you will already know about Gaussian Elimination
* You covered Heaps in your datastructures course
* 2-3 trees are a special case of B-trees, which we will discuss soon
* AVL-trees are too complicated! Hashing works as well or better.
+ Compare them for your project?


## Space-for-time tradeoffs

* Two varieties of space-for-time algorithms:
* input enhancement: preprocess the input (all or part) to store some info to be used later in solving the problem
+ counting sorts
+ string searching algorithms
* prestructuring - preprocess the input to make accessing its elements easier
+ hashing
+ indexing schemes (e.g., B-trees)


## Question:

* Does saving space always cost time?
A. Yes
B. No


## Examples:

* Traversing a compact representation may be faster than traversing a larger one
+ Sparse arrays
+ Sets as lists versus hash tables
+ Adjacency list vs Adjacency Matrix for graphs


## Question:

* How to choose the right data structure?
A. Guess
B. Read the book
c. Understand the tradeoffs between the various operations
D. Understand the frequency of the various operations
E. All of the above
F. $B, C \& D$
G. None of the above


## Distribution Counting Sort:

- Suppose that the values to be sorted are all in the range $0 . . k$, where $k$ is some (small) integer.
- Pre-process the input:
- Make a histogram to count how many times each key occurs:



## Counting sort, continued:

 Calculate cumulative totals:

## Implementation:

```
for (int i=0; i<=k; i++) // set counts to zero
    count[i] = 0;
for (int i=0; i<n; i++) // build histogram
    count[a[i]]++;
for (int i=1; i<=k; i++) // cumulative totals
    count[i] += count[i-1];
```

for (int $i=n-1$; $i>=0 ; i--$ ) \{ // copy data to target
target[count[a[i]]] =a[i];
count[a[i]]--;
\}

All steps O(n)

## Punch-card computing
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## IBM Model 82 Card Sorter (1949)



- Deals cards from a feed into 13 output pockets. (one pocket for rejects)
-250-2000 cards per minute, depending on model.
- One column, selected by the Sorting Brush, sorted per pass.
- The Selector Switches determine which rows are included in the sort
- To fully sort a deck of cards required multiple passes through the sorter -one pass per column in the sort key.


## Review: String searching by brute force

* pattern: a string of $m$ characters to search for
* text: a (long) string of $n$ characters to search in
* Brute force algorithm

Step 1 Align pattern at beginning of text
Step 2 Moving from left to right, compare each character of pattern to the corresponding character in text until either all characters are found to match (successful search) or a mismatch is detected
Step 3 While a mismatch is detected and the text is not yet exhausted, realign pattern one position to the right and repeat Step 2

## String searching by preprocessing

* Several string searching algorithms are based on the input enhancement idea of preprocessing the pattern
- Knuth-Morris-Pratt (KMP) algorithm preprocesses pattern left to right to get useful information for later searching
* Boyer-Moore algorithm preprocesses pattern right to left and store information into two tables
* Horspool's algorithm simplifies the BoyerMoore algorithm by using just one table

Key idea:

* When a match fails, you learn something!
* For example when the following test fails after matching two characters ...

* ... and given this specific pattern string, the next possible match can't occur until the following position:



## Horspool's Algorithm

* A simplified version of Boyer-Moore algorithm:
* preprocesses pattern to generate a shift table that determines how much to shift the pattern when a mismatch occurs
- makes a shift based on the text's character c aligned with the last character in the pattern. Uses the shift table's entry for $c$


## How far to shift?

Look at first (rightmost) character in text that was compared:

1. The character is not in the pattern

BAOBAB
2. The character is in the pattern (but not the rightmost):

BAOBAB

BAOBAB
3. The rightmost characters match:
.... B
BAOBAB

## Shift table

* Shift sizes can be precomputed by the formula: $t(c)=$ if $c$ is not in first $m$-1 characters of pattern then $m$
else distance from c's rightmost occurrence in pattern to right end of pattern
* scan pattern before search begins
* store $t(c)$ in a table called the shift table
* Shift table is indexed by (text and pattern) alphabet. e.g., for BAOBAB:


## ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ <br> 126666666666663666666666666

## Example of running Horspool's alg.

## ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ <br> 126666666666663666666666666

BARD LOVED BANANAS
BAOBAB
BAOBAB
BAOBAB
BAOBAB (unsuccessful search)

## Question

* How many character comparisons will be made by Horspool's algorithm, in searching in a text of 1000 zeros for 00001
A. 1000
B. 200
c. 250
D. 996


## Question

* How many character comparisons will be made by Horspool's algorithm, in searching in a text of 1000 zeros for 10000
A. 1000
B. 996
c. $5 \times 996=4980$
D. $5 \times 1000=5000$


## Question

* Is it possible for Horspool's Algorithm to make more comparisons than the Brute-force algorithm?


## Boyer-Moore algorithm

Based on same two ideas:

* comparing pattern characters to text from right to left
- precomputing shift sizes
* but using two tables
+ bad-symbol table indicates how much to shift based on the text character that caused a mismatch
* good-suffix table indicates how much to shift based on matched part (suffix) of the pattern


## Bad-symbol shift in Boyer-Moore algorithm

* If the rightmost character of the pattern doesn't match, B-M algorithm acts just like Horspool's
* If the rightmost character of the pattern does match, BM compares preceding characters right to left until either all pattern's characters match, or a mismatch on text's character c is encountered after $k>0$ matches text
pattern
bad-symbol shift $d_{1}=\max \{t(c)-k, 1\}$


## Good-suffix shift in Boyer-Moore algorithm

* Good-suffix shift $d_{2}$ is applied after $0<k<m$ characters were matched
* $\quad d_{2}(k)=$ the distance between matched suffix of size $k$ and its rightmost occurrence in the pattern that is not preceded by the same character as the suffix

$$
\text { Example: CABABA } d_{2}(1)=4
$$

* If there is no such occurrence, match the longest part of the $k$-character suffix with corresponding prefix; if there are no such suffix-prefix matches, $d_{2}(k)=m$

Example: WOWWOW: $d_{2}(2)=5, d_{2}(3)=3, d_{2}(4)=3, d_{2}(5)=3$

## Boyer-Moore Algorithm

After matching successfully $0<k<m$ characters, the algorithm shifts the pattern right by

$$
d=\max \left(d_{1}, d_{2}(k)\right)
$$

where $d_{1}=\max (t(c)-k, 1)$ is bad-symbol shift
$d_{2}(k)$ is good-suffix shift

Example: Find pattern AT_THAT in
WHICH_FINALLY_HALTS. _ _ AT_THAT

## Boyer-Moore Algorithm (cont.)

Step 1 Fill in the bad-symbol shift table
Step 2 Fill in the good-suffix shift table
Step 3 Align the pattern against the beginning of the text
Step 4 Repeat until a matching substring is found or text ends:
Compare the corresponding characters right to left.
(a) If no characters match, retrieve entry $t(c)$ from the bad-symbol table for the text's character $c$ causing the mismatch and shift the pattern to the right by $t(c)$.
(b) If $0<k<m$ characters are matched, retrieve entry $t(c)$ from the bad-symbol table for the text's character $c$ causing the mismatch and entry $d_{2}(k)$ from the good-suffix table and shift the pattern to the right by

$$
d=\max \left\{d_{1}, d_{2}(k)\right\}
$$

where $d_{1}=\max \{t(c)-k, 1\}$.

## Example of Boyer-Moore algorithm

## ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

126666666666663666666666666

$$
B E S S-K N E W \_A B O U T \_B A O B A B S
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { B A O B A B } \\
& d_{1}=t(\mathrm{~K})=6 \quad \\
& \\
& \quad \text { B A O B A B }
\end{aligned}
$$

| $k$ | pattern | $d_{2}$ | $d_{1}=t(\checkmark)-2=4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BAOBAB | 2 | $d_{2}(2)=5$ |
| 2 | ВАОВАВ | 5 | B A 0 B A B |
| 3 | ВАОВАВ | 5 | $d_{1}=t($ ■ $-1=5$ |
| 4 | BAOBAB | 5 | $d_{2}$ |
| 5 | BAOBAB | 5 |  |

## Question:

* Would the Boyer-Moore algorithm work correctly with just the badsymbol table to guide pattern shifts?
A. Yes
B. No


## Question:

* Would the Boyer-Moore algorithm work correctly with just the goodsuffix table to guide pattern shifts?
A. Yes
B. No


## Question:

If the last characters of a pattern and its counterpart in the text do match, does Horspool's algorithm have to check other characters right to left, or can it check them left to right too?
A. must check right-to-left
B. could also check left-to-right

## Question:

If the last characters of a pattern and its counterpart in the text do match, does
The Boyer-Moore algorithm have to check other characters right to left, or can it check them left to right too?
A. Yes
B. No

## Question

* How many character comparisons will be made by the Boyer-Moore algorithm, in searching in a text of 1000 zeros for 00001
A. 1000
B. 200
c. 250
D. 996


## Question

* How many character comparisons will be made by the Boyer-Moore algorithm, in searching in a text of 1000 zeros for 10000
A. 1000
B. 996
c. $5 \times 996=4980$
D. $5 \times 1000=5000$


## Question

* Is it possible for the Boyer-Moore Algorithm to make more comparisons than the Brute-force algorithm?

