PSU 510FLP F17 Compiling © Sergio Antoy 2017 PSU 510FLP F17 Compiling © Sergio Antoy 2017 Compiling FL Programs The problem Main concepts of this unit: Consider the program: loop = loop Source language snd $(_,y) = y$ - data - functions Target language and evaluate the expression - data snd (loop,0) - functions Order of evaluation - call-by-need Applying the first rule, "makes no progress." If only the first rule is applied, no result is ever found. - call-by-value Compilation Applying the second rule, gives the result. - abstract - low level A *compiler* must generate code that applies the right rule to the right redex so that if an expression has a value, that value is eventually produced. The generated code represents expressions/graphs as linked structures. It encodes procedures that traverse these graphs and replace subgraphs in a graph until no more replacements are possible.

PSU 510FLP F17 Compiling © Sergio Antoy 2017

Source language

The source language being compiled consists of a definitional tree of each operation and the arity of each symbol, in particular the constructors

Example in Curry:

[]++y = y (x:xs)++y = x:(xs++y)

Corresponding source language:

```
arity of [] = 0
arity of : = 2
tree of ++ =
```



PSU 510FLP F17 Compiling © Sergio Antoy 2017

Target language

- $\bullet\,$ The target language consists of two functions: H and N.
- Each function takes and returns an expression.
- These expressions are made up by *all* the symbols of the source language.
- Each function performs case analysis of its argument and selection of subarguments. Hence, they can be conveniently defined by rules with pattern matching. Hence, the target language is a rewrite system!
- The evaluation in the target system is eager/by-value.
- The rules of the target system are tried in textual order, the first one that is applicable is the only one being applied.
- Hence, the control (execution) is *simple.*

PSU 510FLP F17 Compiling © Sergio Antoy 2017

Function H(1)

Let S and T denote the source and target systems.

Function **H** takes an expression e of S and returns a head constructor form of e (a constructor application), or aborts if this form doesn't exist.

The rules of **H** are generated piecemeal for each operation f of S by a post-order traversal, let's call it *compile*, of a definitional tree of f. We define *compile* by examples.

Let N be a **branch** node with pattern π , some inductive variable, and a few children. First *compile* each child (post-order traversal). Then produce the rule:

$$\mathbf{H}(\pi) = \mathbf{H}(\pi')$$

where π' is like π with the inductive variable wrapped by $\mathbf{H}.$

Example using the root of the tree of ++:

 $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{y})$

Rationale: x is needed and matches a function application or a textually preceeding rule would have been fired.

PSU 510FLP F17 Compiling © Sergio Antoy 2017

Function H(3)

Let N be an *exempt* node with pattern π . *compile* produces:

 $\mathbf{H}(\pi) = \text{abort}$

where "abort" is a directive to abort the computation since the expression being evaluated has no value.

Optimization.

An effective *optimization* is often available. Consider the previously discussed rule:

 $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x++y}) = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{++y})$

We know that the recursive outermost call to H will always match ++ at the root. We can specialize this call and avoid first constructing and later matching the root:

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x++y}) = \mathbf{H}_{++}(\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})$$

Non-determinism.

This compilation scheme is for deterministic functions. Various approaches to non-determinism, e.g., *backtracking* could be integrated

Higher order.

Not discussed at this time. Maybe later.

Function $\mathbf{H}(2)$

Let N be a <u>leaf</u> node with rule $l \rightarrow r$. We distinguish 3 exhaustive and mutually exclusive cases for r.

1. r is a constructor application. Produce the rule:

 $\mathbf{H}(l) = r$

2. r is a function application. Produce the rule:

 $\mathbf{H}(l) = \mathbf{H}(r)$

3. r is a variable, say x. Produce the rules:

 $\mathbf{H}(l') = c_i(x_1, \dots x_k)$

where l' is like l with x replaced by $c_i(x_1, \ldots x_k)$ for every constructor symbol c_i of arity k.

Example, compile the left leaf of the tree of ++:

H([]++[]) = [] H([]++(y:ys)) = y:ys H([]++y) = H(y)

Note, the right-hand side of the rule of ++ is a variable. In the 3rd rule of **H**, y matches a function application.

Exercise 6.A Compile the right leaft of ++. **Exercise 6.B** Compile operation take defined at page 5 of the "Strategies" unit.

PSU 510FLP F17 Compiling © Sergio Antoy 2017

Function $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}}$

Function **N** takes an expression e of S and returns the value of e (in S) or it "aborts" if e has no value.

It invokes function H that takes an expression e of S and evaluates it to a head constructor form, or aborts if it doesn't exist.

Operation **N** is defined by one rule for each symbol of S. In the following *metarules*, c stands for a constructor of S of arity m, f stands for an operation of S of arity n, and x_i is a fresh variable for every i.

$$\mathbf{N}(c(x_1,\ldots,x_m)) = c(\mathbf{N}(x_1),\ldots,\mathbf{N}(x_m))$$

$$\mathbf{N}(f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)) = \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{H}(f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)))$$

Examples:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{N}([]) = [] \\ \mathsf{N}(\mathrm{x:xs}) = \mathsf{N}(\mathrm{x}): \mathsf{N}(\mathrm{xs}) \\ \mathsf{N}(\mathrm{x++y}) = \mathsf{N}(\mathsf{H}(\mathrm{x++y})) \end{array}$

The 3rd rule can be optimized as discussed earlier.

After execution of the 3rd rule, the recursive call executes either the 1st or the 2nd.

PSU 510FLP F17 Compiling © Sergio Antoy 2017

Low level implementation

The target system can be implemented relatively easily in a low-level language such as C.

The graphs abstracting the expressions have nodes and arcs. A node is a *struct* containing a label/symbol and pointers to the node successors.

Functions **H** and **N** are ordinary C functions.

Pattern matching is implemented by case analysis through a traversal of (the top portion of) the argument.

A working system must accommodate built-in types, like the integers, and provide some library functions that cannot be coded in Curry.

Exercise 9. Sketch the case analysis required to dispatch the rule of **H** in the target system for an argument rooted by ++. Hint: start with writing all the rules of **H**.

Thu Aug 31 11:22:30 PDT 2017