Environments, Stores, and Interpreters

Overview

- As we study languages we will build small languages that illustrate language features
- We will use two tools
 - Observational semantic judgements
 - Small interpreters
- These tools convey the same information at different levels of detail.

OPERATIONAL SEMANTICS BY INFERENCE

To describe the machine's operation, we give **rules of inference** that state when a judgment can be derived from judgments about sub-expressions.

The form of a rule is

$$\frac{premises}{conclusion}$$
 (Name of rule)

We can view evaluation of the program as the process of building an inference tree.

This notation has similarities to axiomatic semantics: the notion of derivation is essentially the same, but the content of judgments is different.

ENVIRONMENTS AND STORES, FORMALLY

- We write E(x) means the result of looking up x in environment E. (This notation is because an environment is like a **function** taking a name as argument and returning a meaning as result.)
- We write $E + \{x \mapsto v\}$ for the environment obtained from existing environment E by **extending** it with a new binding from x to v. If E already has a binding for x, this new binding replaces it.

The **domain** of an environment, dom(E), is the set of names bound in E.

Analogously with environments, we'll write

- ullet S(l) to mean the value at location l of store S
- $S + \{l \mapsto v\}$ to mean the store obtained from store S by extending (or updating) it so that location l maps to value v.
- dom(S) for the set of locations bound in store S.

Also, we'll write

• $S - \{l\}$ to mean the store obtained from store S by removing the binding for location l.

EVALUATION RULES (1)

$$\frac{l = E(x) \quad v = S(l)}{\langle x, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, S \rangle} \text{ (Var)}$$

$$\frac{\langle e_1, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, S' \rangle \quad \langle e_2, E, S' \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_2, S'' \rangle}{\langle (+ e_1 \ e_2), E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1 + v_2, S'' \rangle} \text{ (Add)}$$

$$\frac{\langle e_1, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, S' \rangle \quad l \notin dom(S')}{\langle (e_1, E, S) \Downarrow \langle v_1, S' \rangle \quad l \notin dom(S')}$$

$$\frac{\langle e_2, E + \{x \mapsto l\}, S' + \{l \mapsto v_1\} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_2, S'' \rangle}{\langle (\text{local } x \ e_1 \ e_2), E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_2, S'' - \{l\} \rangle} \text{ (Local)}$$

$$\frac{\langle e, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, S' \rangle \quad l = E(x)}{\langle (:= x \ e), E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, S' + \{l \mapsto v\} \rangle} \text{ (Assgn)}$$

EVALUATION RULES (2)

$$\frac{\langle e_1, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, S' \rangle \quad v_1 \neq 0 \quad \langle e_2, E, S' \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_2, S'' \rangle}{\langle (\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3), E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_2, S'' \rangle} \text{ (If-nzero)}$$

$$\frac{\langle e_1, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle 0, S' \rangle \quad \langle e_3, E, S' \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_3, S'' \rangle}{\langle (\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3), E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_3, S'' \rangle} \text{ (If-zero)}$$

$$\frac{\langle e_1, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, S' \rangle \quad v_1 \neq 0 \quad \langle e_2, E, S' \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_2, S'' \rangle}{\langle (\text{while } e_1 \ e_2), E, S'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_3, S''' \rangle} \text{ (While-nzero)}$$

$$\frac{\langle e_1, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle 0, S' \rangle}{\langle (\text{while } e_1 \ e_2), E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle 0, S' \rangle} \text{ (While-zero)}$$

NOTES ON THE RULES

- The structure of the rules guarantees that at most one rule is applicable at any point.
- The store relationships constrain the order of evaluation.
- If no rules are applicable, the evaluation gets stuck; this corresponds to a runtime error in an interpreter.

We can view the interpreter for the language as implementing a bottom-up exploration of the inference tree. A function like

```
Value eval(Exp e, Env env) { .... }
```

returns a value v and has side effects on a global store such that

$$\langle e, env, store_{before} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, store_{after} \rangle$$

The implementation of eval dispatches on the syntactic form of e, chooses the appropriate rule, and makes recursive calls on eval corresponding to the premises of that rule.

Question: how deep can the derivation tree get?

Interpreters

- Programs that detail the same issues as an observational semantics
 - Operations on environments and stores
 - E(x)
 - $E+\{x \rightarrow v\}$
 - Dom(E)
 - S(I)
 - $S+\{I \rightarrow V\}$
 - Dom(S)

Values

Tables in hw3.hs

 Tables are like dictionaries storing objects indexed by a key.

```
-- A table maps keys to objects
data Table key object = Tab [(key,object)]
type Env a = Table String a -- A Table
  where the key is a String
```

Lookup and Searching Tables

```
-- When searching an Env one returns a Result
data Result a = Found a | NotFound
search :: Eq key => key -> [(key, a)] -> Result a
search x [] = NotFound
search x ((k,v):rest) =
    if x==k then Found v else search x rest
-- Search a Table
lookUp :: Eq key => Table key a -> key -> Result a
lookUp (Tab xs) k = search k xs
```

Updating Tables

Update is done by making a new changed copy

Environments in hw3.hs

```
-- A Table where the key is a String
type Env a = Table String a
-- Operations on Env
                                       -- Ø
emptyE = Tab []
extend key value (Tab xs) = -- E+\{x \rightarrow v\}
     Tab ((key, value):xs)
-- E+\{x_1 \rightarrow v_1, x_2 \rightarrow v_2, \dots, x_n \rightarrow v_n\}
push pairs (Tab xs) = Tab(pairs ++ xs)
```

Stores and Heaps

 In language E3, the store is implemented by a heap. Heaps are indexed by addresses (int)

```
type Heap = [Value]
-- State contains just a Heap
data State = State Heap
-- Access the State for the Value
-- at a given Address
access n (State heap) = heap !! n
                   (list !! n) is the get element at
                   position n. The first element
```

is at position 0

Allocating on the heap $S+\{I \rightarrow v\}$

Note that allocation creates a new copy of the heap with one more location

Multiple allocations

```
(fun f (x y z) (+ x (* y z)))
(@ f 3 5 8)
```

- We need to create 3 new locations on the heap and note where the formal parameters (x,y,z) are stored
- $E\{x \rightarrow l_1, y \rightarrow l_2, z \rightarrow l_3\}$
- $S\{l_1 \rightarrow 3, l_2 \rightarrow 5, l_3 \rightarrow 8\}$

Code

```
bind:: [String] -> [Value] ->
       State -> ([(Vname,Addr)],State)
bind names objects state =
     loop (zip names objects) state
  where loop [] state = ([],state)
        loop ((nm,v):more) state =
              ((nm,ad):xs,state3)
     where (ad, state2) = alloc v state
           (xs, state3) = loop more state2
```

Example

```
bind [a,b,c]
    [IntV 3,IntV 7,IntV 1]
    (State [IntV 17])
```

returns the pair

```
( [(a,1),(b,2),(c,3)]
, State [IntV 17,IntV 3,IntV 7,IntV 1]
```

Heap update

 Makes a new copy of the heap with a different object at the given address.

Example

Allocate 1 (St [IntV 3,IntV 7])

Returns

(2, St [IntV 3,IntV 7,IntV 1])

The interpreter

- It implements the observational rules but has more detail.
- It also adds the ability to trace a computation.

```
interpE :: Env (Env Addr, [Vname], Exp) -- The function name space
        -> Env Addr
                                         -- the variable name space
        -> State
                                         -- the state, a heap
                                         -- the Exp to interpret
        -> Exp
        -> IO(Value, State)
                                         -- (result, new state)
interpE funs vars state exp =
         (traceG vars) run state exp where
   run state (Var v) =
      case lookUp vars v of
         Found addr ->
             return(access addr state, state)
         NotFound ->
             error ("Unknown variable: "++v++" in lookup.")
--- ... many more cases
                                   \frac{l = E(x) \quad v = S(l)}{\langle x, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, S \rangle}  (Var)
```

Constant and assignment case

```
run state (Int n) = return(IntV n,state)
         run state (Asgn v e ) =
            do { (val,state2) <- interpE funs vars state e</pre>
                  ; case lookUp vars v of
                        Found addr ->
                           return(val, stateStore addr val state2)
                        NotFound -> error
                             ("\nUnknown variable: "++
                              v++" in assignment.") }
\overline{\langle i, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle i, S \rangle} (Int)
                         \frac{\langle e, E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, S' \rangle \quad l = E(x)}{\langle \text{(:= } x \ e), E, S \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, S' + \{l \mapsto v\} \rangle} \text{ (Assgn)}
```

Notes on pairs

a1 and a2 should be consecutive locations

Runtime checking of errors

 Numeric operations (+, *, <=, etc) only operate on (IntV n) and must raise an error on (PairV a)

```
run state (Add x y) =
     do { (v1,state1) <- interpE funs vars state x</pre>
        ; (v2, state2) <- interpE funs vars state1 y
        ; return(numeric state2 "+" (+) v1 v2,state2) }
numeric :: State -> String -> (Int -> Int -> Int) ->
           Value -> Value -> Value
numeric st name fun (IntV x) (IntV y) = IntV(fun x y)
numeric st name fun (v@(PairV )) =
       error ("First arg of "++name++
              " is not an Int. "++showV (v,st))
numeric st name fun _ (v@(PairV _)) =
       error ("Second arg of "++name++
              " is not an Int. "++ showV (v,st))
```