### Patterns of Reducability

## Turing computable functions

 A function Σ\* → Σ\* is a computable function if some Turing Machine M, in every input w, halts with just f(w) on its tape.

## Polynomial time function

A function f: Σ\* → Σ\* is a polynomial time computable function if some polynomial time TM, M, exists that halts with just F(w) on its tape, when started on any input w.

# Mapping reducability

• A language A is mapping reducable to language B, written  $A \leq_m B$ , if there is a computable function  $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ , where for every  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,

$$w \in A \Leftrightarrow F(w) \in B$$

# Polynomial time reducability

• A language, A, is polynomial time mapping reducible (or simply polynomial time reducible) to a language, B, written  $A \leq_p B$ , if a polynomial time computable function  $f: : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$  exists, where for every w,

#### $w\in A \iff f(w)\in B$

• The function f is called the polynomial time reduction of A to B

### **Decidability Theorems**

1. A  $\leq_m$  B and B is decidable then A is decidable

2.  $A \leq_m B$  and A is undecidable, then B is undecidable

# **Recognizability Theorems**

 A ≤<sub>m</sub> B and B is Turing recognizable then A is Turing recognizable

A ≤<sub>m</sub> B and A is not Turing recognizable then B is not Turing recognizable

– Typically we let A be  $\underline{A}_{TM}$  the complement of  $A_{TM}$ 

# Definition

- A language B is NP-complete if it satisfies 2 conditions
  - 1. B is n NP, and
  - 2. Every A in NP is polynomial time reducable to B Forall  $A \in NP \cdot A \leq_p B$

## P or NP-complete Theorems

• To show a language is in P

 $-A \leq_{p} B$  and  $B \in P$  then  $A \in P$ 

- To Show a language is NP-complete
  - If B is NP-complete and B  $\leq_p$  C, for C  $\in$  NP, then C in NP-complete
  - The most common "B" is the language boolean satifiability